

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,773	05/21/2001	David M. Schnaufer	197/40 9000	
7590 12/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Adams, Schwartz & Evans, P.A.			ZEADE, BERTRAND	
2180 Two First Union Center Charlotte, NC 28282			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charlotte, 110	20202		2875	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AV				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/862,773	SCHNAUFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bertrand Zeade	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1999 O.D. 11, 40	33 0.0. 213.				
•						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-28,31-39,42 and 43 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-28,31-39,42 and 43 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-28, 31-39, 42-43 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-23, 27, 32-34, 38, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruce Industries, INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi (U.S.5262592).

Regarding claim 22 as shown in (figs. Page 15-21) Bruce Industries INC. discloses, a bulb comprising an elongated bulb tube, an electromagnetic interference emissions containment housing located adjacent to the bulb, an electronic ballast located within the emissions containment housing and operatively connected to the bulb, an electromagnetic interference emissions filter operatively connected to the electronic ballast, a power supply cord adapted for being connected to a power source to supply electrical power to the work light, the power supply cord extending from a first end of the containment housing through the length of the containment housing to a second end of the containment housing, and whereby the cumulative effect of the containment housing, electromagnetic emissions filter results in alight meeting

Art Unit: 2875

applicable military requirements for control of EMI emissions for lights having electronic ballasts.

Regarding claim 23 as shown in (fig. on page 15), a tubular, light-transmitting bulb shield surrounding the bulb tube to protect the bulb from damage.

Regarding claim 27 as shown in (fig. on page 18), a switch opening formed in the emissions containment housing to accommodate a ballast activation switch.

Regarding claim 32 as shown in (figs. on pages 15-21), an elongated pull strip releasably attached to the bulb for removing the bulb a from the work tight for replacement.

Regarding claim 33 as shown in (fig. on page 15-21), a bulb comprising an elongated bulb tube, an electromagnetic interference emissions containment housing located adjacent to the bulb, an electronic ballast located within the emissions containment housing and operatively connected to the bulb, and an electromagnetic interference emissions filter operatively connected to the electronic ballast, whereby the emissions filter and emissions containment housing cooperate to reduce electromagnetic interference emissions generated by the work light, a power supply cord adapted for being connected to a cower source to supply electrical power to the work light.

Regarding claim 34 as shown in (fig. on page 15), a tubular light-transmitting bulb shield surrounding the bulb tube to protect the bulb from damage.

Regarding claim 38 as shown in (fig. on page 18), a switch opening formed in the emissions containment housing to accommodate a ballast activation switch.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 43 as shown in (fig. on page 15), an elongated pull strip releasably attached to said bulb for removing said bulb from said work light for replacement.

Bruce Industries INC (TM 10-5410229-13&P) does not disclose an electromagnetic emissions filter and a power cord sheath.

However Aldissi ('592) discloses a filter line cable featuring conductive fiber shielding having a power cord sheath as applied to claims 22 and 33 above, see abstract of Aldissi ('592).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to utilize the repair light set of Bruce Industries INC. with the electromagnetic emissions filter and power cord sheath disclosed by Aldissi ('592), since the power cord sheath of Aldissi ('592) would provide an EMI shielding jacket of Bruce Industries INC. having a sheath over, a generally elongate object of a given outer member that includes the advantages to provision both electromagnetic emissions filter and cord having sheath.

Claims 24-26 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruce Industries INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) as applied to claims 23, 34 above, and further in view of Hesprich (U.S.5564821).

Bruce Industries INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) disclosed the claimed invention except for shock absorbing plug.

Art Unit: 2875

However, Hesprich ('821) discloses a shock absorbing bulb socket for hand-held light having:

Regarding claim 24 as shown in (figs. 1-2) of <u>Hesprich</u> ('821), a cylindrical shock-absorbing plug (12) the bulb (15) from damage.

Regarding claim 25, as shown in (figs. 2,3,8) of Hesprich ('821), the plug includes an interior web for being gripped to remove the plug from the bulb shield (16).

Regarding claim 26 as shown in (fig. 1-2) of Hesprich ('821), a shock-absorbing (12) end cap (14) positioned over an end of the bulb shield (12).

Regarding claim 35 as shown in (figs. 1-2,7) of Hesprich ('821), a cylindrical shock-absorbing plug positioned within the bulb shield (12) and engaging a free end (14) of the bulb tube to further protect the bulb (15) from damage.

Regarding claim 36 as shown in (fig. 1-2,7) of Hesprich ('821), the plug (31) includes an interior web for being gripped to remove the plug (31) from the bulb shield (12).

Regarding claim 37 as shown in (fig. 1-2) of Hesprich ('821), a shock absorbing end cap (14) positioned over an end of the bulb shield (12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to utilize the repair light set of Bruce Industries, INC (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) with the shock absorbing plug disclosed by Hesprich ('821), in order to provide a lighting system including a connecting means having a shockabsorbing bulb socket which is interposed between other means and the bulb, so that

Art Unit: 2875

the socket engages the inner wall of the bulb shield at the first end thereof for protecting the bulb from impact damage.

- 3. Claims 28, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over. Bruce Industries, INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) as applied to claims 22, 33 above, and further in view of M. R. Barnes (U.S.002233280).
- 4. Bruce Industries, INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) disclose the claimed invention except for a removable color filter.
- 5. However, Barnes ('280) discloses a picture illuminating device having:

 Regarding claim 28 as shown in (figs. 9) of Barnes, a removable color filter (40)

 positioned over the bulb (12) shield to filter light emitted by the bulb (12).

Regarding claim 39 as shown in (fig. 9) of Barnes, a removable color filter (40) positioned over the bulb (12) shield to filter light emitted by the bulb (12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the repair light set of Bruce Industries, INC (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) with the removable color filter taught by Barnes ('280), in order to provide a lighting device having a color filter which enhances the versatility and use of the work light to perform task under different conditions by changing the filter, because the removable color filter are composed of color glass or other suitable color-filtering material.

Claims 31, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruce Industries INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) as applied to claims 22, 33 above, and further in view of Crates (U.S.004945461).

Bruce Industries INC. (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) disclose the claimed invention except for a reflector.

However, Crates ('461) discloses a portable work light with replaceable scuff guard having:

Regarding claim 31 as shown in (figs. 1-3) of Crates ('461), a light reflector (24) located adjacent the bulb tube (11) for enhancing illumination of the bulb (11).

Regarding claim 42 as shown in (fig.) of Crates ('461), a light reflector (24) located adjacent the bulb tube (11) for enhancing illumination of the bulb (11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to utilize the repair light set of Bruce Industries, INC (TM 10-5410229-13&P) in view of Aldissi ('592) with the reflector taught by Crates ('461) for the benefit and advantage to provide a lighting device having a reflective sheet that is positioned intermediate the light bulb and the shield, to reflect light from the side of the shield through the other side, in order to shield the operator's eyes from the light, and to direct the light in a desired direction.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bertrand Zeade whose telephone number is 571-272-2387. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bertrand Zeade Examiner Art Unit 2875

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800